

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/035,486	10/19/2001	Franklin Earl Sexton	505907.002	3720
27910 7	7590 11/19/2003		EXAMINER	
STINSON MORRISON HECKER LLP ATTN: PATENT GROUP 1201 WALNUT STREET, SUITE 2800			SAYALA, CHHAYA D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KANSAS CITY, MO 64106-2150			1761	•
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/035,486	SEXTON ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	C. SAYALA	1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12)							
Attachment(s)	🗔						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informat F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/035,486

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 6, 8, 10, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Parentheses in claims are indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 60141693

The patent teaches mixing the same ingredients as a fertilizer composition.

Application/Control Number: 10/035,486

Art Unit: 1761

4. Claims 1-5 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 52068715

The patent teaches combining ammonium sulfate and polyacrylamide.

5. Claims 1-5 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cox et al., Oct. 1998, and Exacto, Dec. 2000.

Both references (see PTO Form 1449-IDS) disclose Polytex. DC...AMS products that are described as ammonium sulfate impregnated by polyacrylamide. Note that the step of Claim 12 is inherent since it involves only mixing the 2 ingredients.

6. Claims 1-5 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schapira et al (US Patent 5472476).

Schapira et al disclose a composition containing polyacrylamide and ammonium sulfate mixed together. By combining the two, the limitations of Claim 1 are made inherent. See Claim 8 in particular.

7. Claims 1-5 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rose et al. (US Patent 6288010).

The patent teaches a composition containing ammonium sulfate and polyacrylamide. See Col. 8, lines 39+ and the claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/035,486

Art Unit: 1761

8. Claims 1-6 and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brigance et al. (US Patent 6423109).

Patentees teach combining ammonium sulfate and polyacrylamide, silicone and clay. See claims 1-2, 11-12.

Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Volgas et al. (US 2001/0034304) teaches that (as of filing date 1/21/2000) a product on the market already contains ammonium sulfate, polyacrylamide and silicone. See Col. 1, para [0003] and para [0005]

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. SAYALA at Group 1761, telephone number (703) 308-3035.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Primary Examiner Group 1700.